

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Beatrice B. Nguyen (CSB No. 172961, bbnguyen CROWELL & MORING LLP 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.986.2800 Facsimile: 415.986.2827 Michael N. Rader (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> pending, mrader John L. Strand (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> pending, jstrand@wcGerald Hrycyszyn (CSB No. 227814, ghrycyszyn Eric G. Kaviar (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> pending, ekaviar@wWOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2206 Telephone: 617.646.8000 Facsimile: 617.646.8646 Attorneys for Defendants	@wolfgreenfield.com) olfgreenfield.com) @wolfgreenfield.com)
10 11	E INK HOLDINGS, INC. and E INK CORP	ORATION
12	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
14		
15	COPYTELE, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 3:13-cv-00378-EMC
16	Corporation,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
17	Plaintiff,	ORDER EXTENDING DÉFENDANTS E INK HOLDINGS, INC. AND E INK
18	v.	CORPORATION'S TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
19	E INK HOLDINGS, INC., a Taiwanese corporation; and E INK CORPORATION,	[Civil L. R. 6-1(a)]
20	a Delaware corporation,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING E INK'S TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT: CASE NO. 3:13-cy-00378-EMC

COMPLAINT; CASE NO. 3:13-cv-00378-EMC

DCACTIVE-22628364.3

1	WHEREAS, on January 28, 2013, Plaintiff CopyTele, Inc. ("CopyTele") filed a complaint
2	("Complaint") against Defendants E Ink Holdings, Inc. ("E Ink Holdings"), a Taiwanese
3	corporation, and E Ink Corporation ("E Ink Corp."), a Delaware corporation;
4	WHEREAS, E Ink Corp.'s response to the Complaint was due on February 22, 2013;
5	WHEREAS, on February 15, 2013, CopyTele and E Ink Corp. agreed and stipulated to an
6	interim extension until March 8, 2013, for E Ink Corp. to answer or otherwise respond to the
7	Complaint while the parties finish their negotiations on a final extension; and
8	WHEREAS, E Ink Holdings has agreed to waive service of summons under Rule 4 of the
9	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and to waive any objections to the absence of a summons or
10	service under the laws of the United States and Taiwan;
11	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that:
12	1. E Ink Holdings shall waive service of summons under the Rule 4 of the Federal
13	Rules of Civil Procedure, and it shall waive any objections to the absence of a summons or
14	service under the laws of the United States and Taiwan.
15	2. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-1(a), E Ink Holdings and E Ink Corp. shall have 91
16	days from the date of the filing of the complaint up to and including Monday, April 29, 2013, to
17	answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.
18	Dated: March 6, 2013 CROWELL & MORING LLP
19	/s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen
20	Beatrice B. Nguyen
21	Attorneys for Defendants E INK HOLDINGS, INC. and E INK CORPORATION
22	E INCOM OMITION
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1	Dated: March 6, 2013 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
2	/s/ David T. Rudolph
3	•
4	Eric B. Fastiff David T. Rudolf
5	Melissa Gardner Attorneys for Plaintiff COPYTELE, INC.
6	
7	ATTESTATION
8	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the
9	filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.
10	DATED: March 6, 2013 /s/ Beatrice B. Nguyen
11	Beatrice B. Nguyen
12	
13	[PROPOSED] ORDER
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Defendants E Ink Holdings,
15	Inc. and E Ink Corporation shall have up to and including April 29, 2013, to answer or otherwise
16	respond to the Complaint. March 11 2012
17	Dated:, 2013
18	Hon. Edward M. Chen United Street Judge
19	IT IS SO ORDERED TAY A dge
20	Chen Z
21	Judge Edward M. Chen
22	
23	THE PAY DISTRICT OF COM
24	STRIE
25	
26	
27	
28	

CROWELL & MORING LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW